Measuring the Influence of AI-Driven Virtual Influencers on Brand Value and Customer Engagement: Introducing Novel Variables for Next-Gen Impact Assessment

Sambari, S.K.

Enlight Research Acaemy

Mumbai, India.

email: shivasam@opencognovate.com

Alok Kumar.

ICAR-Central Potato Research Institute,

Shimla, India.

email: alok@opencognovate.com

Abstract

The rise of AI-driven virtual influencers (AIVIs) represents a paradigm shift in digital marketing. These synthetic personas, powered by artificial intelligence and computer-generated imagery, engage audiences and promote brands with unprecedented scalability and control. This review explores the impact of AIVIs on brand value and customer engagement, contrasting them with human influencers and identifying novel evaluation challenges. Key dimensions such as emotional realism, trust, relatability, and personalization are analyzed through emerging frameworks like the Emotional Realism Index (ERI) and Synthetic Ethics Trust Index (SETI). Quantitative and qualitative metrics, along with AI-powered analytics platforms, are reviewed to assess engagement efficacy. Additionally, the study introduces eight novel variables for evaluating AIVIs and emphasizes the need for standardization and ethical transparency. By synthesizing theoretical models with practical insights, this paper offers a multidimensional roadmap for measuring AIVIs' strategic effectiveness and lays the foundation for more ethical, data-informed, and emotionally resonant AI-led marketing practices.

Keywords: AI virtual influencers, brand value, customer engagement, emotional realism, influencer marketing, synthetic authenticity

1. Introduction

The emergence of artificial intelligence (AI) in marketing has given rise to a new class of digital entities known as AI-driven virtual influencers (AIVIs)—computer-generated characters powered by AI algorithms that can engage audiences, promote products, and represent brands across social media platforms [1,2]. Unlike traditional influencers, AIVIs are not human but are designed to simulate human-like behavior, appearance, and interaction. These synthetic personas often employ a combination of machine learning, natural language processing, and generative design to produce engaging content and respond dynamically to user interactions. Prominent examples such as Lil Miquela, Imma, and Shudu have amassed millions of followers, secured high-profile brand deals, and redefined the boundaries of authenticity, creativity, and influence in the digital era [3-5].

The rise of AIVIs in marketing and branding has been both rapid and disruptive. Their 24/7 availability, complete creative control, and ability to be customized for any brand identity make them highly attractive to marketers. With no risk of personal scandals or unpredictable behavior, AIVIs present a controlled and scalable alternative to human influencers. Companies across industries—from fashion and cosmetics to gaming and tech—are increasingly experimenting with AIVIs to reach digital-native consumers, particularly Gen Z and Alpha audiences who are more receptive to AI-driven interactions and immersive experiences. These influencers not only challenge the conventional notions of trust and relatability but also raise new questions about consumer psychology, ethics, and brand-consumer dynamics [6,7].

Given their growing presence and investment potential, measuring the influence of AIVIs on brand value and customer engagement has become an urgent priority for both academics and practitioners. Traditional influencer performance metrics—such as follower count, likes, shares, and conversions—may not fully capture the complex and novel ways in which AIVIs interact with users and affect brand perceptions. Furthermore, the psychological and behavioral responses to non-human agents are qualitatively different from those toward human influencers. Metrics must therefore evolve to assess dimensions unique to AIVIs, including emotional realism, algorithmic personalization, synthetic identity ethics, and cognitive engagement depth. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for optimizing marketing strategies, evaluating return on investment (ROI), and anticipating consumer behavior in a future increasingly shaped by AI-driven media.

This narrative review aims to critically examine the current literature on AI virtual influencers, identify existing gaps in measurement practices, and propose novel variables and frameworks for assessing their impact on brand value and customer engagement. Specifically, the review explores both established metrics and emerging indicators that reflect the unique capabilities and limitations of AIVIs. The scope includes theoretical foundations, comparative analysis with human influencers, practical applications, and future directions for research and implementation. By doing so, this review contributes to a deeper understanding of how synthetic influence is reshaping branding, customer relationships, and performance metrics in the digital marketplace.

2. Background and Theoretical Foundations

2.1 Evolution of Influencer Marketing: From Humans to AI

Influencer marketing has undergone a significant transformation over the past two decades, evolving from celebrity endorsements and traditional word-of-mouth promotion to micro-influencers and, most recently, AI-powered virtual personas. In the early stages, influencers were predominantly high-profile figures—celebrities, athletes, and public figures—whose endorsement power stemmed from their visibility and aspirational appeal. With the rise of social media platforms like Instagram, YouTube, and TikTok, a democratization of influence occurred [8]. This shift enabled ordinary individuals with niche interests and loyal followings to shape consumer opinions and purchasing behavior.

However, as the influencer ecosystem matured, challenges such as influencer fatigue, authenticity concerns, and increasing costs began to emerge. Brands started seeking alternatives that offered scalability, control, and consistency—giving rise to the next evolutionary stage: AIVIs [9,10]. These synthetic entities, while fictional, are capable of performing all the roles of a human influencer, including creating content, interacting with followers, endorsing products, and representing brand personas. Their appeal lies not just in novelty but also in their strategic advantages: they are cost-effective, fully controllable, immune to scandals, and capable of continuous output without fatigue.

2.2 Technological Foundations of AIVIs

The creation and functioning of AIVIs is made possible by the convergence of several advanced technologies:

- Artificial Intelligence (AI): At the core of AIVIs is AI, which enables them to analyze audience data, adapt tone and content, and personalize communication. AI models are trained to generate relevant, on-brand content and respond to audience interactions in a human-like manner [11].
- Machine Learning (ML): ML algorithms help AIVIs improve their engagement strategies over time. These systems can detect patterns in user behavior, predict preferences, and optimize content delivery based on historical engagement data [12,13].
- Computer-Generated Imagery (CGI): CGI provides the visual realism that defines many popular AIVIs. It allows the creation of hyper-realistic or stylized characters, giving them a visual presence on platforms such as Instagram, YouTube, and TikTok. CGI ensures consistency in appearance and aesthetic, which is critical for maintaining brand identity [14].
- Natural Language Processing (NLP): NLP enables AIVIs to interact conversationally with users, making their communication seem intelligent and context-aware. Through sentiment analysis, language generation, and context understanding, NLP makes AIVIs more socially adept and relatable [13].

Together, these technologies allow AIVIs to operate autonomously (or semi-autonomously), generate creative outputs, and simulate social presence, all of which are critical for building brand-consumer relationships in the digital space.

2.3 Theories Supporting AIVI Impact

To understand how AIVIs influence consumer perception and behavior, it is important to consider several theoretical models that explain the mechanisms of digital influence.

2.3.1 Parasocial Interaction Theory

Originally developed by Horton and Wohl (1956), parasocial interaction theory describes the illusion of a one-sided relationship that audiences develop with media personalities [15]. In the context of AIVIs, this theory is particularly relevant. Despite being non-human, AIVIs are

designed to evoke emotional connections and simulate interpersonal intimacy through consistent, responsive, and emotionally resonant content. Their ability to mimic human interaction—through storytelling, humor, and personalized engagement—can foster a sense of familiarity and attachment, leading to increased trust and consumer loyalty [16,17].

2.3.2 Source Credibility Theory

Source credibility theory posits that the effectiveness of a message is strongly influenced by the perceived credibility of its source, which includes dimensions such as expertise, trustworthiness, and attractiveness. AIVIs can be engineered to optimize these traits [18]. Their aesthetic appeal is highly customizable via CGI, their tone and messaging are consistent (unlike unpredictable human influencers), and their brand alignment can be finely tuned for specific campaigns. Although they lack real-life experience, studies suggest that perceived expertise and attractiveness can still drive consumer persuasion—especially when the synthetic nature of the influencer is disclosed transparently [18,19].

2.3.3 Brand Equity Models

The impact of AIVIs on long-term brand value can be examined through established brand equity frameworks, such as Aaker's Brand Equity Model. This model outlines key components including brand awareness, perceived quality, brand associations, and brand loyalty. AIVIs can enhance all these dimensions through consistent messaging, strong visual identity, and persistent engagement [20]. For instance, an AIVI with a distinct personality and aesthetic can reinforce brand associations (e.g., futurism, innovation, youthfulness), while ongoing personalized content can contribute to brand loyalty and perceived relevance [21,22].

The rise of AI-driven virtual influencers marks a fundamental shift in digital marketing, where influence is no longer limited to humans. Enabled by cutting-edge technologies and grounded in established psychological and marketing theories, AIVIs represent both an opportunity and a challenge for brands. Understanding their operation and influence mechanisms provides a foundation for assessing their value—a task that requires revisiting traditional measurement frameworks and introducing novel metrics tailored to synthetic agents.

3. AI Influencers vs. Human Influencers

The rise of AIVIs has introduced a new dynamic into the influencer marketing ecosystem, long dominated by human influencers. While both types of influencers aim to drive brand engagement, increase visibility, and influence consumer behavior, their inherent characteristics

and modes of operation differ significantly. This section explores the key contrasts between AIVIs and human influencers, their comparative effectiveness, and the roles of trust, relatability, and novelty in shaping audience response. Real-world examples such as Lil Miquela, Imma, and Noonoouri illustrate how AIVIs are challenging and complementing their human counterparts.

3.1 Key Differences in Reach, Authenticity, and Cost

3.1.1.Reach:

Human influencers generally build their followings organically over time, drawing on personal experiences, charisma, and social connections. AIVIs, on the other hand, are often launched as branded digital products with targeted content strategies and pre-set narratives. While human influencers have more immediate social capital, AIVIs can scale rapidly across multiple platforms, languages, and markets due to their programmable nature. Additionally, brands can use algorithmic targeting to artificially accelerate AIVI visibility.

3.1.2.Authenticity:

Authenticity remains one of the most debated issues in the comparison. Human influencers offer lived experiences and real emotions, which many consumers perceive as more trustworthy. In contrast, AIVIs lack genuine human experience, which may hinder their perceived authenticity. However, some AIVIs like Lil Miquela blend fiction and reality by posting about personal “struggles,” relationships, and activism, creating a sense of narrative depth that can resonate emotionally despite being artificial [23].

3.1.3.Cost:

Human influencers often charge high fees, especially those with large followings. Their cost is also affected by travel, accommodations, production needs, and potential reputational risks. In contrast, AIVIs represent a one-time development cost with relatively low ongoing maintenance. Once created, an AIVI can generate content at scale without limitations of time, fatigue, or personal issues, making them more cost-effective in the long run, especially for large, multi-market campaigns.

3.2 Comparative Effectiveness in Driving Engagement

While human influencers are known for their emotional intelligence and spontaneous storytelling, AIVIs excel in consistency, creative control, and cross-platform adaptability. Studies [24,25]suggest that AIVIs often outperform human influencers in click-through rates (CTR) and brand recall, particularly among younger, tech-savvy audiences. This effectiveness is often linked to novelty, aesthetic appeal, and the algorithmic optimization of content.

That said, engagement quality may differ. Human influencers typically enjoy deeper, more emotionally anchored interactions with their followers, which can translate into higher trust and purchase intent. In contrast, AIVI engagement is often curiosity-driven and novelty-based, which may wear off over time unless regularly innovated [26]. Blending both types in hybrid campaigns can often yield the best of both worlds.

3.3 Trust, Relatability, and Novelty as Differentiators

3.3.1.Trust:

Trust is a double-edged sword for AIVIs. On one hand, they are immune to scandals, unreliability, and off-brand behavior, which enhances brand safety. On the other, their synthetic nature may elicit skepticism among users who value transparency and authenticity. Disclosure (i.e., clearly indicating that the influencer is AI) plays a critical role in shaping trust perceptions.

3.3.2.Relatability:

Human influencers share personal journeys, emotions, and challenges—elements that foster deep parasocial relationships. While AIVIs attempt to mimic this, there is often a perceived emotional gap due to the lack of real experience. However, as AI and NLP technologies improve, the emotional realism of AIVIs is steadily increasing, narrowing the relatability gap.

3.3.3.Novelty:

Novelty remains a key advantage of AIVIs. Their futuristic appeal, flawless aesthetics, and imaginative storylines capture attention and generate buzz, particularly in early stages of deployment. This novelty can enhance virality and drive short-term engagement spikes, but it must be sustained through evolving narratives and interactive design to ensure long-term relevance.

3.4 Case Examples of AI Influencers

- Lil Miquela: Perhaps the most iconic AIVI, Lil Miquela (created by Brud) is a CGI influencer with over 2 million Instagram followers. She has collaborated with brands like Calvin Klein, Prada, and Samsung, and regularly shares posts that simulate real-world emotional and social experiences, blurring the lines between fiction and reality [26-27].
- Imma: A Japanese virtual influencer developed by Aww Inc., Imma is known for her hyper-realistic visuals and deep integration into Asian fashion and pop culture. She has been featured in campaigns by IKEA, Puma, and Valentino. Imma's appeal lies in her stylish presence and digital fluency, which resonate with Gen Z audiences[28-30].
- Noonoouri: Unlike the hyper-realistic aesthetic of other AIVIs, Noonoouri adopts a stylized, doll-like appearance. Created by Joerg Zuber, she promotes high fashion, sustainability, and social causes, often partnering with luxury brands like Dior and Versace. Her distinct visual identity and ethical branding make her a compelling example of a values-driven virtual persona [31,32].

AIVIs and human influencers each offer distinct advantages and limitations. While humans bring relatability, emotional depth, and real-life authenticity, AIVIs provide creative control, scalability, and novelty. As marketing continues to blend storytelling, technology, and personalization, the distinction between human and synthetic influencers may become increasingly blurred. Future influencer strategies will likely be hybrid, combining the emotional resonance of human voices with the precision and innovation of AI-driven personas.

4. Dimensions of Brand Value Affected by AI Influencers

AIVIs are reshaping the traditional understanding of how brands build and maintain value. Their influence extends across several strategic dimensions of brand equity, including awareness, perception, trust, and loyalty. This section unpacks how AIVIs impact these dimensions, supported by relevant metrics, behavioral cues, and emerging insights from digital marketing.

4.1 Brand Awareness

One of the most immediate and measurable contributions AIVIs make to brand value is increasing brand awareness. As visual, algorithmically optimized entities, AIVIs are capable of attracting attention at scale, especially among digitally native audiences.

4.1.1.Metrics: Reach, Impressions, Followers

AIVIs are often deployed in high-visibility campaigns where reach, impressions, and follower growth are primary metrics. These indicators measure how many users see or engage with branded content. AIVIs can rapidly accumulate large followings due to their uniqueness and design appeal [34,35]. For example, the virtual influencer Lil Miquela amassed over 2 million Instagram followers within a few years—on par with many macro-influencers.

4.1.2.Use of Hashtags and Virality Potential

AIVIs often use strategic hashtags, digital aesthetics, and storytelling to drive virality [36]. Their futuristic, flawless designs lend themselves well to highly shareable visual content, increasing the likelihood of trending posts. Brands use AIVIs to launch or amplify hashtag campaigns (#AIVIFashion or #SyntheticStyle), leveraging them as viral catalysts in competitive digital environments.

4.2 Brand Perception and Image

Beyond visibility, AIVIs play a critical role in shaping brand identity and consumer perception. Through carefully curated content, they can embody and project specific brand values such as innovation, inclusivity, and sustainability [37].

4.2.1.Innovation, Tech-Savviness, Inclusivity

Brands that collaborate with AIVIs often gain perceptional advantages by signaling forward-thinking attitudes. Partnering with AIVIs positions companies as tech-savvy, experimental, and digitally fluent—traits highly valued by Gen Z and Alpha consumers. For example, when Imma, a Japanese AIVI, was used in campaigns by IKEA and Shiseido, it reinforced those brands as modern and culturally progressive [38,39].

Some AIVIs are intentionally designed to reflect diversity and inclusion—a strategy particularly effective in conveying socially responsible branding. AIVIs with non-Western features, gender fluidity, or multicultural identities can help brands tap into global audiences and express ethical positioning.

4.2.2.Consumer Attitudes (Measured via Sentiment Analysis)

The effectiveness of AIVIs in influencing brand perception is often tracked using sentiment analysis [40]. This involves evaluating user-generated comments, shares, and mentions to understand how audiences emotionally respond to AIVI content. A surge in positive sentiment around brand collaborations with AIVIs can reflect enhanced consumer approval, whereas skepticism or criticism may indicate misalignment or ethical concerns.

4.3 Brand Trust and Credibility

While AIVIs bring novelty and control, they also raise important questions around authenticity and ethical transparency—key components of brand trust. How consumers perceive the credibility of non-human influencers can significantly affect brand reputation.

4.3.1.AI Authenticity Dilemma: Transparency vs. Manipulation

AIVIs challenge traditional notions of authenticity. Since they do not possess real experiences or emotions, brands must navigate the "authenticity dilemma": Should they clearly disclose that an influencer is synthetic, or risk misleading consumers? Transparency fosters trust but may reduce emotional engagement, while ambiguity can enhance relatability at the cost of ethical integrity [41].

Explicit disclosure—such as labeling posts with "#AIinfluencer" or providing creator information—builds greater trust among informed consumers. Conversely, attempts to pass AIVIs as real may backfire and damage brand credibility, especially if discovered later.

4.3.2.Ethical AI Behavior and Consistency

A key advantage of AIVIs is their programmable consistency. They don't get embroiled in scandals, make off-brand statements, or suffer from erratic behavior. Their messaging is predictable, on-brand, and aligned with corporate values—an important trust-building feature. However, ethical behavior still needs to be programmed and monitored. Brands must ensure AIVIs avoid cultural insensitivity, perpetuation of stereotypes, or tone-deaf commentary, especially during social movements.

4.4 Brand Loyalty and Equity

The most enduring dimension of brand value is loyalty, which contributes to long-term equity. AIVIs influence this through ongoing interaction, narrative continuity, and emotional resonance—despite their artificial nature.

4.4.1.Repeated Interactions and Campaign Retention

Unlike campaign-specific human influencers, AIVIs can serve as long-term brand ambassadors, appearing across multiple campaigns with consistent voice and aesthetic. Repeated exposure to the same AIVI persona helps establish familiarity, which in turn contributes to brand stickiness [42]. Followers often begin to anticipate their updates, storylines, and collaborations, fostering deeper psychological connections.

Brands using AIVIs such as Noonoouri or Bermuda have experimented with serialized storytelling, where audiences follow digital journeys over time—mirroring how people engage with fictional characters in television or games. These techniques enhance memory retention and emotional association with the sponsoring brand.

4.4.2.Association with Long-Term Emotional Value

While human influencers draw on personal narratives to evoke emotions, AIVIs rely on constructed story arcs, stylized visuals, and AI-powered personalization to build emotional appeal. If well-designed, these personas can become icons of brand identity, embodying its values across time and space. Emotional connections—though different in nature—can still be formed via narrative consistency, community interaction, and user participation in co-created storylines. Furthermore, some AIVIs use sentiment-aware chat systems to engage followers in real-time, increasing the sense of interactivity and personal attention—key elements in building loyalty and emotional equity.

AI virtual influencers influence more than just short-term metrics; they redefine how brand value is created and perceived. They enhance awareness through viral content and digital presence, reshape perception by aligning with innovation and inclusivity, impact trust through transparency and ethical design, and contribute to loyalty through consistent engagement and emotional resonance. As brands continue to explore AIVIs in strategic marketing, a nuanced understanding of these dimensions is crucial for maximizing their long-term value contribution.

5. Customer Engagement Metrics and Measurement Approaches

Customer engagement serves as a key determinant of marketing effectiveness in digital ecosystems. For AIVIs, measuring engagement offers unique challenges and opportunities due to their synthetic nature, highly curated content, and technological flexibility. This section outlines key quantitative and qualitative engagement metrics, and presents advanced analytical tools and techniques used to evaluate how AIVIs impact customer engagement compared to human influencers.

5.1 Quantitative Metrics

Quantitative metrics offer objective, data-driven insights into how users interact with content posted by AIVIs. These metrics are foundational for measuring surface-level engagement and are commonly used in digital marketing dashboards.

5.1.1.Likes, Shares, Comments, Mentions, Saves

These are the most visible and commonly used metrics across social media platforms. AIVIs often generate high numbers of likes and shares due to their novelty and visually arresting content. Comments reflect active user engagement, while mentions (tagging AIVIs or associated brands in user posts) indicate virality and peer-to-peer visibility. Saves are particularly important on platforms like Instagram, as they signify content that users find worth revisiting—an indirect indicator of content value [43,44].

5.1.2.Engagement Rate per Post

Engagement rate (ER) is calculated by dividing total engagements (likes, comments, shares, etc.) by the total number of followers, multiplied by 100. This metric normalizes performance across accounts with varying audience sizes. AIVIs sometimes outperform human influencers on this metric due to algorithmic optimization of post timing, hashtag usage, and content format [45].

5.1.3.Click-Through Rates (CTR), Conversion Rates

When AIVIs are used in campaigns involving product promotion or web redirection, CTR becomes a critical metric. It measures how often users click on links provided in bios, stories, or posts. Conversion rate then measures how many of those users take a desired action—such

as making a purchase or signing up for a newsletter. High conversion metrics indicate that AIVI-driven content is not just visually engaging but also commercially effective [46].

5.2 Qualitative Metrics

While quantitative metrics offer numerical insights, qualitative metrics provide depth, emotion, and context. They help evaluate how audiences feel and think about AIVI content and the associated brand.

5.2.1.Sentiment Analysis of Comments

Sentiment analysis involves using natural language processing (NLP) tools to classify user comments as positive, neutral, or negative. This method helps researchers and marketers assess emotional response and audience alignment [47]. For AIVIs, sentiment analysis also reveals public acceptance or skepticism about AI-based personas. Trends in sentiment can guide adjustments in tone, messaging, or even avatar design.

5.2.2.Content Resonance and Relevance

This metric evaluates how well AIVI content aligns with the interests, values, or needs of a specific audience. It is usually inferred through high-quality comments, content shares with positive annotations, or user-generated content inspired by the AIVI. For instance, if a user reposts an AIVI post with captions like “This is so me!” or “This brand gets it,” the content is resonating at a personal or cultural level.

5.2.3.Visual/Emotional Appeal Through Audience Feedback

User comments often provide cues on emotional resonance—such as admiration for aesthetics, storytelling, humor, or relatability. Visual elements like color schemes, virtual environments, and character expressions can significantly influence this dimension. Qualitative analysis of emoji usage, GIF reactions, or shared memes can also serve as indicators of emotional engagement and visual appeal.

5.3 Advanced Measurement Tools

To capture the full scope of engagement and refine strategy, brands increasingly rely on AI-powered platforms and analytics frameworks designed to measure influencer performance with precision and scale.

5.3.1.AI-Based Analytics Platforms (e.g., HypeAuditor, CreatorIQ)

These platforms offer detailed insights into influencer campaigns, providing dashboards that track engagement metrics, follower authenticity, audience demographics, and even estimated ROI. Tools like HypeAuditor can differentiate between organic and artificial engagement, helping ensure the AIVI's impact is both real and sustainable. CreatorIQ, another leading platform, allows brands to manage entire campaigns and benchmark AIVIs against human influencers [48,49].

5.3.2.A/B Testing in AI vs. Human Influencer Campaigns

A/B testing involves launching parallel campaigns—one with a human influencer and another with an AIVI—while keeping other variables constant (such as message, product, and timing). This method reveals which influencer type drives higher engagement, sentiment, and conversions, and is particularly useful in validating the cost-effectiveness and audience preferences around AIVIs [50,51].

5.3.3.Machine Learning for Engagement Prediction

Machine learning models are now used to predict engagement levels based on historical data, content features, time of posting, and audience behavior. These models can recommend optimal content formats, hashtags, or timing strategies for AIVIs. Some advanced systems also use computer vision to analyze image features (e.g., color palettes, facial expressions) to estimate emotional appeal and predict virality potential.

5.4 Summary

Measuring customer engagement with AIVIs requires a multifaceted approach that balances quantitative reach and interaction metrics with qualitative emotional and contextual insights. As AI-generated personas continue to evolve, so too must the metrics and tools used to evaluate their impact. The integration of AI-based analytics, sentiment analysis, and predictive modeling enables a more nuanced, data-rich understanding of how AIVIs shape customer engagement—insights that are critical for brand strategy, audience development, and return on investment.

6. Novel and Emerging Variables to Measure Brand Value and Customer Engagement

Traditional metrics such as likes, shares, and conversion rates provide a rudimentary snapshot of digital influencer performance. However, AIVIs introduce new dynamics that challenge conventional evaluation paradigms. Their synthetic origin, emotional programmability, and algorithmic adaptability call for advanced and nuanced tools to assess their true impact on brand value and customer engagement. This section introduces a set of novel variables—psychological, perceptual, behavioral, and algorithmic—that capture the deeper mechanisms through which AIVIs influence audiences and enhance brand equity.

6.1 Emotional Realism Index (ERI)

Rationale:

The Emotional Realism Index (ERI) evaluates how convincingly an AIVI conveys human-like emotions through its communication—visual, vocal, and textual. Emotional realism is critical in fostering parasocial interactions, which are foundational to influencer marketing. Unlike human influencers whose emotions are inherently organic, AIVIs simulate emotions via computational models. However, the more realistically they mimic human affect, the more likely audiences are to perceive them as relatable and emotionally authentic, which can increase emotional brand attachment.

Measurement Methods:

Measuring ERI involves a combination of emotion recognition systems and audience perception analytics. Advanced facial recognition algorithms can analyze microexpressions on AIVI-generated avatars to assess the accuracy and variability of emotional displays. Similarly, AI speech emotion detection tools can evaluate modulation in voice tone, pacing, and inflection for spoken or narrated content. To complement these system-level analyses, natural language processing (NLP) is employed to examine user-generated comments for signs of empathy, emotional resonance, or perceived authenticity.

Implications:

A high ERI score indicates that the AIVI is successfully engaging users on an emotional level. This can significantly boost customer connection with the associated brand, particularly in emotionally charged domains like lifestyle, mental wellness, or fashion. For instance, a beauty brand using an emotionally resonant AIVI might observe more heartfelt testimonials and higher product loyalty.

6.2 AI-Human Blending Perception Score (AHBP Score)

Rationale:

The AI-Human Blending Perception Score measures the extent to which users perceive an AIVI as human versus artificial. This perception plays a crucial role in influencing trust, curiosity, and cognitive engagement. Some AIVIs are deliberately ambiguous, designed to blur the lines between machine and human. This ambiguity can drive user intrigue or even ethical debate, impacting engagement patterns and the perceived credibility of the influencer and the brand it represents.

Measurement Methods:

This variable is assessed using perceptual testing techniques, including pre- and post-exposure surveys that measure the extent to which users were aware of the AIVI's non-human nature. Eye-tracking data can offer insight into points of confusion or fascination during interactions. Additional behavioral indicators, such as hesitation before engagement or delayed responses, help estimate the cognitive processing involved in determining the influencer's identity.

Implications/Example/Use Case:

A moderate AHBP score may suggest the optimal mix of curiosity and clarity—keeping users intrigued without misleading them. For example, Imma, a Japanese virtual influencer, exemplifies this balance by being hyperrealistic while clearly branded as synthetic. This balance can enhance campaign effectiveness without breaching ethical trust boundaries.

6.3 Algorithmic Personalization Index (API)

Rationale:

The Algorithmic Personalization Index reflects how well an AIVI adapts its content to suit individual user preferences. Unlike human influencers who require manual content

adjustments, AIVIs can algorithmically learn and tailor their messaging in real time. Personalization is a cornerstone of user satisfaction and engagement, and the ability of an AIVI to respond uniquely to each user strengthens brand relevance and consumer loyalty.

Measurement Methods:

Measurement begins with tracking the congruence between an AIVI's content themes and user interaction histories, such as prior likes, comments, or saved posts. AI systems can assess how content is tailored based on demographic or behavioral segmentation. Additionally, performance is gauged by analyzing adaptive replies and engagement improvements over time, indicating the AIVI's learning efficacy in enhancing relevance.

Implications/Example/Use Case:

A high API score implies an AIVI's potential to deliver content that feels customized, increasing time spent on brand pages and repeat interactions. In sectors like fashion or personal care, where style and preferences are individualized, personalization can be a key differentiator. Brands employing AIVIs with a strong API can witness deeper user-brand identification.

6.4 Interaction Depth Score (IDS)

Rationale:

The Interaction Depth Score evaluates the qualitative richness of user engagement with the AIVI, moving beyond mere clicks or reactions. It captures meaningful engagement, such as extended conversations, cross-platform interactions, and narrative continuity, which are indicators of deeper cognitive and emotional involvement.

Measurement Methods:

This score can be measured by analyzing the length and complexity of comment threads on AIVI posts. Patterns such as users returning to interact with the AIVI across multiple posts or platforms indicate sustained interest. Conversation continuity—where users refer back to past content or build narratives with the AIVI—provides further evidence of rich interaction.

Implications/Example/Use Case:

A high IDS reflects an AIVI's ability to engage users in ways that mirror real social relationships. For example, a fitness brand using an AIVI trainer might see long-form question threads or multi-week interactions, enhancing loyalty and perceived value through continuity.

6.5 Cognitive Dissonance Engagement Index (CDEI)

Rationale:

The CDEI measures the psychological tension users may feel when engaging with an AIVI that behaves in highly human-like ways despite being known to be artificial. This dissonance can spark curiosity and sustained attention, or conversely, cause discomfort and disengagement. Understanding where users fall on this spectrum enables brands to calibrate the realism of AIVIs for optimal impact.

Measurement Methods:

CDEI is assessed through a combination of neuroscientific and behavioral methods. Eye-tracking and EEG scans during content exposure reveal real-time cognitive tension. Scroll-stopping patterns and follow-through behaviors provide additional clues. Qualitative analysis of open-ended user feedback also captures emotional reactions that suggest dissonance.

Implications/Example/Use Case:

Moderate cognitive dissonance can foster deeper engagement, especially in avant-garde or tech-savvy brand spaces. However, excessive dissonance might alienate users. For example, a highly realistic AIVI promoting children's toys may face backlash if the user base perceives the content as unsettling or manipulative.

6.6 AI-Driven Virality Potential (AIVP)

Rationale:

The AIVP measures the likelihood of an AIVI's content achieving viral status, leveraging the influencer's algorithmic creativity and novelty factor. AIVIs can iterate rapidly and adapt to viral trends through machine learning, giving them an inherent advantage in producing memeable, shareable content.

Measurement Methods:

This score is derived from early-stage engagement velocity (e.g., interaction rates in the first few hours of posting), along with novelty analysis of captions and visuals using AI models. High share-to-view ratios and unique content detection algorithms contribute to predicting the viral trajectory.

Implications/Example/Use Case:

Brands can use AIVP to forecast campaign reach and resonance. For example, an AIVI promoting a music festival may use generative algorithms to create content that capitalizes on trending formats, drastically boosting organic visibility and ROI.

6.7 Synthetic Ethics Trust Index (SETI)

Rationale:

SETI evaluates how much trust users place in the AIVI, based on its ethical transparency, messaging consistency, and alignment with brand values. As users become more ethically conscious, particularly in the digital sphere, perceived honesty and integrity are increasingly tied to long-term brand equity.

Measurement Methods:

Surveys measuring user understanding of the AIVI's artificial nature assess transparency. Sentiment analysis on comment threads highlights perceptions of manipulation or deception. Consistency audits evaluate whether the AIVI's actions align with declared brand values, such as sustainability or diversity.

Implications/Example/Use Case:

High SETI values signal strong alignment between brand identity and audience values, bolstering loyalty and reducing reputational risk. In politically or ethically sensitive domains (e.g., climate change advocacy), SETI becomes essential for legitimacy.

6.8 Integration into Evaluation Frameworks

To comprehensively evaluate the influence of AI-driven virtual influencers on brand value and customer engagement, it is essential to go beyond surface-level engagement metrics and adopt a more nuanced and multidimensional evaluation architecture. The novel variables introduced—such as the Emotional Realism Index (ERI), Algorithmic Personalization Index (API), Cognitive Dissonance Engagement Index (CDEI), and Synthetic Ethics Trust Index (SETI)—offer an opportunity to move toward a next-generation analytics framework that is better aligned with the complexity of AIVI interactions.

Integrating these variables requires a shift in both conceptual modeling and technical infrastructure. From a conceptual standpoint, these novel constructs must be embedded into existing brand equity and engagement theories, enhancing their explanatory power. For instance, Aaker's model of brand equity could be extended to include emotional realism and ethical trust as mediators between AIVI content exposure and brand loyalty. Similarly, customer engagement frameworks could incorporate variables like interaction depth and personalization efficacy to account for the uniquely algorithmic nature of AIVI interactions.

Technologically, integration can begin with the expansion of current influencer analytics platforms—such as HypeAuditor, CreatorIQ, and Sprinklr—to accommodate custom metrics and real-time data feeds associated with these new constructs. Emotional realism, for example, can be quantified using emotion recognition software integrated into visual content analysis pipelines. Behavioral data such as return visits, comment complexity, or time spent viewing can be analyzed with natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning tools to compute indices like interaction depth or cognitive dissonance.

Furthermore, integration necessitates the development of hybrid dashboards that combine traditional KPIs (e.g., impressions, conversions) with emergent indicators drawn from neuromarketing, psychometrics, and behavioral analytics. Such platforms would allow marketers and researchers to visualize the multi-layered effects of AIVIs in real time, offering insights not only into what users are doing, but also how they are thinking and feeling during those interactions. For example, a dip in SETI following a controversial campaign may signal trust erosion, prompting immediate recalibration of the AIVI's messaging or disclosure practices.

Experimental methodologies also play a pivotal role in integrating and validating these novel metrics. Controlled A/B tests can be designed where one campaign features a high-ERI AIVI and another features a lower-ERI version, allowing researchers to isolate emotional realism as a causal factor in engagement outcomes. Longitudinal studies can examine how sustained exposure to highly personalized content (as indicated by a high API) correlates with loyalty and customer lifetime value.

In terms of strategic application, these variables should not be treated as static data points but as dynamic feedback mechanisms within adaptive marketing ecosystems. AI systems can be trained on these variables to predict optimal posting times, recommend content themes, and even adjust the AIVI's persona in response to shifting audience expectations. The use of

reinforcement learning and predictive analytics can make these frameworks responsive, continuously optimizing brand engagement strategies based on real-time user input.

Importantly, the integration of such novel factors must also address ethical, cultural, and regulatory considerations. For instance, platforms must ensure that metrics like AHBP (AI-Human Blending Perception) do not intentionally exploit user ambiguity or violate norms of transparency. The inclusion of variables like SETI allows ethical considerations to be quantified and monitored as part of overall performance, creating space for more responsible and trust-driven branding practices.

In sum, these novel variables are not mere supplements to traditional marketing metrics but foundational to constructing robust, context-sensitive, and ethically aware evaluation frameworks. They capture dimensions of virtual influencer performance that are increasingly critical in an era where authenticity is simulated, emotions are computational, and brand trust hinges on algorithmic behavior. By embedding these variables into theoretical models and digital analytics platforms alike, marketers and researchers can more effectively navigate the evolving digital ecosystem shaped by AI-driven virtual influencers.

7. Challenges in Measuring AIVI Impact

While AIVIs are emerging as powerful tools in digital marketing, accurately measuring their impact presents a range of conceptual, methodological, and ethical challenges. The novelty of these entities—rooted in their algorithmic foundations, non-human status, and scalable interactivity—renders traditional influencer metrics insufficient. Despite advancements in AI and analytics, significant hurdles remain that impede a comprehensive and standardized assessment of AIVI effectiveness on brand value and customer engagement.

7.1.Lack of Standardization in Metrics

One of the most pressing issues in evaluating AIVI performance is the absence of standardized metrics [52,53]. Human influencers benefit from a relatively well-established set of key performance indicators (KPIs) such as engagement rate, reach, and follower growth. In contrast, AIVIs introduce new dimensions—like emotional realism, synthetic transparency, and AI-driven personalization—that are not yet fully integrated into existing measurement systems. Without a unified framework or industry consensus, comparisons across campaigns, brands, or platforms become unreliable. This lack of standardization limits the scalability and replicability of findings and poses challenges for cross-industry benchmarking.

Furthermore, AIVIs often operate with varying degrees of human oversight, automated behavior, and content-generation algorithms, making it difficult to determine which aspects of performance stem from AI capabilities versus human creative inputs. Standardizing evaluation methods will require an interdisciplinary effort that brings together marketing experts, AI ethicists, data scientists, and behavioral researchers to co-develop new measurement protocols tailored to the unique affordances of AIVIs.

7.2.Authenticity Perception Gap

Authenticity has long been a cornerstone of influencer marketing success. However, in the case of AIVIs, authenticity is inherently ambiguous. These digital entities do not possess real-life experiences, emotions, or identities, yet they are designed to simulate human traits convincingly. This paradox creates what can be termed an "authenticity perception gap," where consumers may engage with AIVIs without fully understanding—or consciously acknowledging—their artificial nature.

This ambiguity complicates impact measurement because audience responses may be shaped by unconscious biases or fluctuating perceptions of realism and trustworthiness. For instance, users who are unaware that an influencer is AI may engage more readily, assuming human intent behind the message. Once the artificial nature is revealed, responses may change, making it difficult to isolate the true impact of the AIVI itself. Capturing and quantifying this perception gap—along with its downstream effects on brand trust, engagement, and loyalty—remains a significant empirical challenge.

7.3.Ethical Concerns in Using AI for Human-like Persuasion

Another major challenge lies in the ethical considerations surrounding AIVIs, particularly regarding their persuasive potential. Because AIVIs are often indistinguishable from humans in terms of language use, emotional expression, and aesthetic presentation, they can influence audiences without the same accountability or transparency expected of human influencers [54,55]. This raises concerns about manipulation, especially among vulnerable demographics such as children or impressionable users who may not recognize the synthetic nature of the content.

These ethical dilemmas affect not only public perception but also the reliability of impact assessment. Brands risk backlash if users feel deceived or emotionally exploited, which can distort otherwise positive engagement metrics. Moreover, the lack of regulatory oversight on AI-based persuasion complicates longitudinal measurement, as the ethical acceptability of

AIVIs may shift rapidly based on media scrutiny, public sentiment, or policy interventions. Any measurement framework, therefore, must embed ethical auditing and disclosure tracking as integral components of performance evaluation.

7.4.Difficulty in Attributing Impact to AIVIs Alone

A further complication in measuring AIVI effectiveness is the difficulty of isolating their specific contributions within broader marketing ecosystems. Most AIVI campaigns are executed as part of multichannel strategies that involve a mix of human influencers, paid ads, traditional media, and content marketing. In such blended campaigns, it becomes challenging to attribute customer engagement or shifts in brand perception solely to the AIVI component.

This attribution problem is exacerbated by the layered nature of consumer interactions with digital content. A single customer may encounter an AIVI on multiple platforms, interact with its content several times, and simultaneously be influenced by peer opinions, social trends, or unrelated brand messaging. Without robust experimental designs—such as randomized control trials or controlled A/B testing—it is difficult to disaggregate the unique effects of AIVIs. The growing use of recommendation algorithms, which curate user content feeds based on past behavior, adds another layer of complexity by introducing feedback loops that obscure causal relationships.

To address this, researchers and marketers must invest in more granular data collection techniques and causal inference models that account for temporal sequencing, cross-platform behavior, and user segmentation. The application of AI-based attribution modeling, such as multi-touch attribution using machine learning, may help alleviate this problem, but these tools are still under development and are not universally accessible or interpretable.

In summary, while AIVIs present an exciting frontier in influencer marketing, accurately assessing their influence requires overcoming a constellation of challenges related to measurement standardization, perception management, ethical responsibility, and impact attribution. Addressing these issues is essential not only for academic rigor but also for the responsible and effective use of AIVIs in commercial and societal contexts.

8. Future Directions and Opportunities

As AIVIs continue to evolve in sophistication, realism, and functionality, the field of influencer marketing is undergoing a paradigm shift. These synthetic agents are no longer mere novelties but are increasingly integrated into long-term branding strategies and customer experience architectures. This review has highlighted both the promise and the complexity of assessing their influence. Looking ahead, several key directions and opportunities emerge that can shape the next generation of research and practice. These pertain to the development of tailored measurement frameworks, innovative influencer configurations, adaptive technological integration, and ethical governance. Most importantly, future work must emphasize the systematic validation and expansion of the novel variables introduced in this study.

8.1. Standardization of Metrics for AI Influencer Assessment

A fundamental future direction is the development of standardized, widely accepted evaluation metrics specifically designed for AI influencers. Current marketing analytics tools largely rely on metrics that were built to evaluate human influencers—such as follower count, engagement rate, and content frequency. These metrics often fail to capture critical nuances unique to synthetic personas, such as emotional simulation fidelity, personalization algorithms, and audience perception of authenticity. To address this gap, interdisciplinary efforts involving academia, industry stakeholders, and platform providers are needed to establish AI-specific benchmarks. These could include hybrid metrics that blend behavioral data with psychographic indicators and sentiment analysis. Creating a global database of AIVI campaign performance across industries could also support benchmarking and best-practice dissemination.

8.2. Hybrid Influencer Models

The boundary between human and AI-driven influence is likely to become increasingly porous in the near future. Hybrid influencer models—featuring collaborations between human content creators and AIVIs—are poised to emerge as a dominant trend. These collaborations can offer a powerful combination of human relatability and emotional nuance with the consistency, scale, and data-driven adaptability of AI systems. For such models, new methodologies will be required to assess the compounded or synergistic effects of dual influencer formats. Measurement frameworks must adapt to capture co-creation dynamics, narrative alignment, and engagement attribution within these hybrid arrangements. This opens avenues for mixed-method research combining ethnographic analysis, eye-tracking studies, and engagement analytics to fully understand co-influencer impact.

8.3. Real-Time Adaptive Content and CRM Integration

As AI technologies become more deeply embedded within marketing infrastructure, AIVIs will increasingly function as dynamic, real-time engagement tools that interface directly with customer relationship management (CRM) systems. These intelligent influencers will be able to generate personalized content on-the-fly, respond to user inputs across multiple platforms, and adjust tone, style, or message based on individual preferences and behavioral data. This progression necessitates the development of real-time performance indicators—far beyond lagging indicators like campaign impressions or delayed feedback. New KPIs might include real-time resonance scores, content adaptability indices, or predictive lifetime value metrics tied to AIVI interactions. Seamless integration with CRM tools will also allow for longitudinal tracking of customer sentiment and loyalty development triggered by AIVI touchpoints.

8.4. Regulation, Transparency, and Ethical Use of AI Influencers

As public awareness grows around the presence and influence of non-human agents in digital spaces, regulatory and ethical scrutiny will intensify. Future developments must align with increasing demands for transparency, fairness, and informed consent in AI interactions. This includes clearly disclosing when users are engaging with a synthetic persona, ensuring that AI-generated content adheres to ethical norms, and minimizing manipulative design tactics that exploit user psychology. Legal frameworks will need to catch up with these evolving realities, potentially mandating AI-identification disclosures and auditing of algorithmic content generation. Ethical evaluation metrics—such as trust audits, disclosure effectiveness indices, and user comfort level surveys—will become integral to both campaign planning and retrospective impact assessment.

8.5. Expansion and Validation of Novel Variables

Perhaps the most transformative opportunity lies in the empirical expansion and validation of the novel variables introduced in this review. Metrics such as the Emotional Realism Index (ERI), AI-Human Blending Perception Score (AHBP), Interaction Depth Score (IDS), and Cognitive Dissonance Engagement Index (CDEI) represent significant advances in capturing the psychological, algorithmic, and relational nuances of AIVI interactions. However, these variables must be rigorously tested across a variety of platforms (e.g., TikTok, Instagram, YouTube), market sectors, and audience demographics. Future research should explore the correlations between these variables and tangible business outcomes such as sales uplift, brand equity changes, and customer retention. Moreover, composite indices that integrate multiple

novel metrics into a unified performance dashboard could offer brands a more holistic and predictive understanding of AIVI success. Cultural and generational differences in AIVI reception should also be explored to tailor strategies for global campaigns.

In sum, the future of AI influencer evaluation lies in building a new measurement paradigm—one that accounts for the dynamic, non-human, and psychologically complex nature of synthetic personas. By pushing the boundaries of current methodologies and embracing interdisciplinary collaboration, researchers and practitioners can fully harness the potential of AIVIs while navigating the challenges of trust, transparency, and technological innovation.

9. Conclusion

AI-driven virtual influencers (AIVIs) are redefining influencer marketing by offering cost-effective, programmable, and always-on alternatives to human influencers. They excel in consistency, brand safety, and content customization, though challenges persist in trust, authenticity perception, and ethical transparency. The review highlights that traditional metrics fail to capture the complexity of AIVIs' influence. Therefore, novel variables such as the Emotional Realism Index (ERI), Algorithmic Personalization Index (API), and Synthetic Ethics Trust Index (SETI) are introduced to assess emotional, perceptual, and behavioral dynamics more accurately.

For marketers and brands, integrating AIVIs into campaigns demands a dual focus on creative strategy and ethical responsibility. AIVIs can drive brand value by enhancing awareness, reshaping perception, and deepening customer loyalty through data-driven personalization. Researchers are encouraged to validate these new metrics across platforms and demographics, building standardized, transparent, and adaptive evaluation systems that reflect AIVIs' evolving roles. As AIVIs grow more realistic and context-aware, they are no longer mere novelties but essential tools in modern marketing. Their success will hinge not only on technological sophistication but also on how responsibly and insightfully they are deployed. The future of brand influence lies in the balance between emotional resonance, ethical transparency, and algorithmic precision.

10. References

1. Jin SV, Viswanathan V. "Threatened and empty selves following AI-based virtual influencers": comparison between followers and non-followers of virtual influencers in

AI-driven digital marketing. AI & Society [Internet]. 2024 Jan 18; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-023-01832-9

2. Jin SV. “To comply or to react, that is the question:” the roles of humanness versus eeriness of AI-powered virtual influencers, loneliness, and threats to human identities in AI-driven digital transformation. Computers in Human Behavior Artificial Humans [Internet]. 2023 Aug 1;1(2):100011. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbah.2023.100011
3. Brachtendorf C. Lil Miquela in the folds of fashion: (Ad-)dressing virtual influencers. Fashion Style & Popular Culture [Internet]. 2022 Oct 1;9(4):483–99. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1386/fspc_00157_1
4. Roy, D. & Joya, C. "Forever young, beautiful, and scandal-free: Exploring the ethical frames and crafted authenticity in the animated images of female virtual influencers", Global Media Journal-Indian Edition, 2023; 15(1):1-28.
5. Oktan A, Yavas B. Intertextuality in the body designs of AI-Based virtual influencers. Rupkatha Journal on Interdisciplinary Studies in Humanities [Internet]. 2024 Sep 25;16(03). Available from: https://doi.org/10.21659/rupkatha.v16n3.02
6. Laszkiewicz A, Kalinska-Kula M. Virtual influencers as an emerging marketing theory: A systematic literature review. International Journal of Consumer Studies [Internet]. 2023 May 24;47(6):2479–94. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcs.12956
7. Hewapathirana IU, Perera N. Navigating the age of AI influence: A systematic literature review of trust, engagement, efficacy and ethical concerns of virtual influencers in social media. Journal of Infrastructure Policy and Development [Internet]. 2024 Aug 7;8(8):6352. Available from: https://doi.org/10.24294/jipd.v8i8.6352
8. Alipour SM, Ghaffari M, Zare H. Influencer marketing research: a systematic literature review to identify influencer marketing threats. Management Review Quarterly [Internet]. 2024 Mar 5; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-024-00412-5
9. Zeren D, Erkan I, Elwalda A, Rahman M, Cil E. Influencer Marketing and Artificial intelligence Influencer Marketing and Artificial intelligence. In: Advances in marketing, customer relationship management, and e-services book series [Internet]. 2024. p. 212–34. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-6145-0.ch009
10. Chintalapati, S., & Pandey, S. K. Artificial intelligence in marketing: A systematic literature review. International Journal of Market Research, 2021; 64(1), 38-68. https://doi.org/10.1177/14707853211018428 (Original work published 2022)

11. Sands S, Campbell CL, Plangger K, Ferraro C. Unreal influence: leveraging AI in influencer marketing. European Journal of Marketing [Internet]. 2022 Feb 16;56(6):1721–47. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1108/ejm-12-2019-0949
12. Patel P, Base S, Joshi D, et al. Leveraging Natural Language Processing and Machine Learning Algorithms for Enhanced Influencer Marketing Analytics, Journal of AI ML Research,2020; 9(4):1-26.
13. Nanayakkara DSA, Perera KJPG. StratGenius: Natural Language Processing-Based System To Determine Effective Influencer Marketing Strategies. 2023 IEEE 2nd Industrial Electronics Society Annual On-Line Conference (ONCON), SC, USA [Internet]. 2023 Dec 8;1–6. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/oncon60463.2023.10431374
14. El-Deeb, S. Computer-Generated Imagery Influencer Marketing—Which Ends of the Continuum Will Prevail? Humans or Avatars?. In: Reis, J.L., Del Rio Araujo, M., Reis, L.P., dos Santos, J.P.M. (eds) Marketing and Smart Technologies. ICMarkTech 2022. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies, vol 344. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-0333-7_1
15. Giles DC. Parasocial Interaction: A review of the literature and a model for future research. Media Psychology [Internet]. 2002 Aug 1;4(3):279–305. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532785xmep0403_04
16. Sokolova K, Kefi H. Instagram and YouTube bloggers promote it, why should I buy? How credibility and parasocial interaction influence purchase intentions. Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services [Internet]. 2019 Jan 25;53:101742. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.01.011
17. Lin CA, Crowe J, Pierre L, Lee Y. Effects of Parasocial Interaction with an Instafamous Influencer on Brand Attitudes and Purchase Intentions. Social Media + Society [Internet]. 2021 May 28;10(1):55–78. Available from: https://www.thejsms.org/index.php/JSMS/article/view/811
18. Lowry PB, Wilson DW, Haig WL. A Picture is Worth a Thousand Words: Source Credibility Theory Applied to Logo and Website Design for Heightened Credibility and Consumer Trust. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction [Internet]. 2013 Sep 30;30(1):63–93. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2013.839899
19. Wellman ML. “A friend who knows what they’re talking about”: Extending source credibility theory to analyze the wellness influencer industry on Instagram. New Media

& Society [Internet]. 2023 Apr 17;26(12):7020–36. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448231162064

20. Aaker D. Aaker's Brand Equity model [Internet]. EURIB; 2009. Available from: https://d1wqtxts1xzle7.cloudfront.net/38484934/s_-_Brand_equity_model_by_Aaker_EN_-libre.pdf?1439694739=&response-content-disposition=inline%3B+filename%3DAakers_Brand_Equity_model.pdf&Expires=1710245569&Signature=IG6FJQ16K2pcJEzNUvbeKiXVnSK2bSCDOt-QvoBpyTeKd5grqKakJsObIDNgYKk3uysFQoztsc0VozV~-oWPCrjbKnZcgIpm2XyLWCXccds2WWe9gKu3CW0jxkOn5KNwOfFMRua9JPZtQBWnS9GbECzL1GmMQrKD162j-663ifaR-~kOOOY8joEH9hRneAo~2fR1okiQ3TW7~w8O2ftX71iLmVc6AqQWN2s6GEGwXdfmrB7y7zmuHc-s8E0vcOnbpkIkSt3tu9pHoWsq6mCrbqV7QWLh8en1Hj-JoDLSaVDqWXI6Yi~2X0128EsBq8Kve-etsIDfGSCL3WAwPRwbWQ__&Key-Pair-Id=APKAJLOHF5GGSLRBV4ZA
21. Smith D, Jacobson J, Rudkowski JL. Employees as influencers: measuring employee brand equity in a social media age. Journal of Product & Brand Management [Internet]. 2021 May 10;30(6):834–53. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1108/jpbm-03-2020-2821
22. Jun S, Yi J. What makes followers loyal? The role of influencer interactivity in building influencer brand equity. Journal of Product & Brand Management [Internet]. 2020 Jun 30;29(6):803–14. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1108/jpbm-02-2019-2280
23. Elyamany N, Youssef YO, El-Karef N. A trans-disciplinary forensic study of Lil Miquela's virtual identity performance in Instagram. AI & Society [Internet]. 2025 Mar 10; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-025-02219-8
24. Gerlich M. The Shifting Influence: Comparing AI Tools and Human Influencers in Consumer Decision-Making. AI. 2025; 6(1):11. https://doi.org/10.3390/ai6010011
25. De Cicco R, Iacobucci S, Cannito L, Onesti G, Ceccato I, Palumbo R. Virtual vs. human influencer: Effects on users' perceptions and brand outcomes. Technology in Society [Internet]. 2024 Feb 27;77:102488. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2024.102488
26. Belanche D, Casaló LV, Flavián M. Human versus virtual influences, a comparative study. Journal of Business Research [Internet]. 2024 Jan 8;173:114493. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114493

27. Hofeditz, Lennart; Nissen, Anika; Schütte, Reinhard; and Mirbabaie, Milad, "Trust Me, I'm an Influencer! - A Comparison of Perceived Trust in Human and Virtual Influencers" (2022). ECIS 2022 Research-in-Progress Papers. 27. https://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2022_rip/27
28. Muniz F, Stewart K, Magalhães L. Are they humans or are they robots? The effect of virtual influencer disclosure on brand trust. Journal of Consumer Behaviour [Internet]. 2023 Oct 31;23(3):1234–50. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/cb.2271
29. Yoo, J.W., Park, J. and Park, H. "How can I trust you if you're fake? Understanding human-like virtual influencer credibility and the role of textual social cues", Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing, 2025; 19(4): 730-748. https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIM-02-2024-0092
30. Gerlich M. The Power of Virtual Influencers: Impact on Consumer Behaviour and Attitudes in the Age of AI. Administrative Sciences. 2023; 13(8):178. https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci13080178
31. Lee H, Shin M, Yang J, Chock TM. Virtual Influencers vs. Human Influencers in the Context of Influencer Marketing: The Moderating Role of Machine Heuristic on Perceived Authenticity of Influencers. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction [Internet]. 2024 Jul 4;1–18. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2024.2374100
32. Kim D, Wang Z. Comparing Marketing Effectiveness between Human and Virtual Influencers: Role of Not-For-Profit Message in Perceived Authenticity and Credibility. Computers in Human Behavior Artificial Humans [Internet]. 2024 Aug 1;2(2):100100. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbah.2024.100100
33. Looi JM. Deriving authenticity from artificial intelligence : assessing the roles of human-likeness and influencer tier in virtual influencer marketing [Internet]. 2023. Available from: https://repositories.lib.utexas.edu/items/f20e86d2-f0e2-4782-8545-4a871fb6eb70
34. De Brito Silva MJ, De Oliveira Ramos Delfino L, Cerqueira KA, De Oliveira Campos P. Avatar marketing: a study on the engagement and authenticity of virtual influencers on Instagram. Social Network Analysis and Mining [Internet]. 2022 Sep 6;12(1). Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13278-022-00966-w
35. Choudhry A, Han J, Xu X, Huang Y. "I felt a little crazy following a 'Doll.'" Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction [Internet]. 2022 Jan 14;6(GROUP):1–28. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1145/3492862

36. Roring RS. Decoding Social Media Virality: Measuring Impact, Influence, and Engagement in the Digital Age. SSRN [Internet]. 2024 Jan 1; Available from: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4875869
37. Rahman MA, Emon MMH, Khan T, Siam SAJ. Measuring the Influence of Brand Image on Consumer Behavioral Intentions by using AI: Exploring the Mediating Role of Trust. 2024 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Applications and Systems (COMPAS), Cox's Bazar, Bangladesh, [Internet]. 2024 Sep 25;1–7. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1109/compas60761.2024.10796396
38. Chaihanchanchai P, Anantachart S, Ruangthanakorn N. Unlocking the persuasive power of virtual influencer on brand trust and purchase intention: a parallel mediation of source credibility. Journal of Marketing Communications [Internet]. 2024 Jan 9;1–23. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/13527266.2023.2301390
39. Sugama M. IKEA shares a glimpse of home life with imma: japan's first virtual model [Internet]. Designboom | Architecture & Design Magazine. 2020. Available from: https://www.designboom.com/technology/ikea-home-life-with-imma-japans-first-virtual-model-09-11-2020/
40. Shayaa S, Ainin S, Jaafar NI, Zakaria SB, Phoong SW, Yeong WC, et al. Linking consumer confidence index and social media sentiment analysis. Cogent Business & Management [Internet]. 2018 Jan 1;5(1):1509424. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2018.1509424
41. Lou C, Kiew STJ, Chen T, Lee TYM, Ong JEC, Phua Z. Authentically fake? How consumers respond to the influence of virtual influencers. Journal of Advertising [Internet]. 2022 Dec 22;52(4):540–57. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2022.2149641
42. Malangke A. The Future of Brand Ambassadors in Digital Business: Trends, Challenges, and Opportunities. SSRN [Internet]. 2025 Jan 1; Available from: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5126945
43. Looi J, Kahlor LA. Artificial intelligence in Influencer Marketing: A Mixed-Method comparison of human and virtual influencers on Instagram. Journal of Interactive Advertising [Internet]. 2024 Apr 2;24(2):107–26. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2024.2313721
44. Are you being influenced? : The effect of human, virtual and AI influencers on purchase intention through Instagram - University of Twente Student Theses [Internet]. Available from: https://essay.utwente.nl/94909/

45. Tan WB, Lim TM, Faculty of Computing and Information Technology (FOCS), Tunku Abdul Rahman University College (TARUC). A critical review on engagement rate and pattern on social media sites [Internet]. International Conference on Digital Transformation and Applications (ICDXA) 2020. 2020. Available from: https://www.tarc.edu.my/files/icdxa/F4017D1D-C937-4059-AA62-46530632B5EE.pdf
46. Yang Y, Zhai P. Click-through rate prediction in online advertising: A literature review. Information Processing & Management [Internet]. 2022 Jan 5;59(2):102853. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ipm.2021.102853
47. Alrumaih A, Al-Sabbagh A, Alsabah R, Kharrufa H, Baldwin J. Sentiment analysis of comments in social media. International Journal of Power Electronics and Drive Systems/International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering [Internet]. 2020 Sep 18;10(6):5917. Available from: https://doi.org/10.11591/ijece.v10i6.pp5917-5922
48. Shukla SS, Bajpai S, Pramanik S, Waller S. From Micro-Influencers to AI. In: IGI Global eBooks [Internet]. 2025. p. 419–40. Available from: https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9561-5.ch015
49. Devi CG, Priya D, Vasudevan A. AI and Machine Learning in Marketing. Shanlax Publications; 2025.
50. Quin F, Weyns D, Galster M, Silva CC. A/B testing: A systematic literature review. Journal of Systems and Software [Internet]. 2024 Feb 22;211:112011. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2024.112011
51. Allal-Chérif O, Puertas R, Carracedo P. Intelligent influencer marketing: how AI-powered virtual influencers outperform human influencers. Technological Forecasting and Social Change [Internet]. 2023 Dec 24;200:123113. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.123113
52. Reinke A, Tizabi MD, Baumgartner M, et al. Understanding metric-related pitfalls in image analysis validation. Nat Methods. 2024;21(2):182-194. doi:10.1038/s41592-023-02150-0
53. Liu X, Xiang X, Li Z. et al. A survey of AI-Generated Video Evaluation [Internet]. Available from: https://arxiv.org/html/2410.19884v1
54. Marco Dehnert, Paul A Mongeau, Persuasion in the Age of Artificial Intelligence (AI): Theories and Complications of AI-Based Persuasion, Human Communication Research, 2022; 48(3): 386–403, https://doi.org/10.1093/hcr/hqac006

55. Huang G, Wang S. Is artificial intelligence more persuasive than humans? A meta-analysis. Journal of Communication [Internet]. 2023 Aug 9;73(6):552–62. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqad024